Cancer associated venous thromboembolism and P-selectin: A review

Akaba kingsley¹, Edakabasi Akaba², Awafung Adie³, Theophilus Pius⁴

¹ Department of Haematology, University of Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria

- ² Department of Pathology, university of Calabar teaching Hospital, Calabar Cross River, Nigeria
- ³ Department of Biomedical Engineering Kampala International University, Uganda
- ⁴ Department of Pathology Kampala International University, Uganda

Background: Venous Thrombo Embolism (VTE) is the third most common cause of vascular mortality worldwide and comprises Deep-Vein Thrombosis (DVT) and Pulmonary Embolism (PE). It is also the second most common cause of death among cancer patients. VTE contributes immensely to the morbidity and mortality of cancer patients, with a life threaten PE being 3 times more common in cancer patients compared to non-cancer patients. Cancer patients are said to have a 5 to 7 fold increased risk of developing VTE. P-selectin can serve as a promising marker which could help to increase the precision of predicting the risk of VTE among cancer patients when combine with established validated tools.

Aim: This study seeks to unravel the increasing trend of cancer associated VTE and the intricate and multifaceted role of P-selectin in cancer associated VTE.

Method: A vigorous literature search was performed via the internet search engines linked to academic databases including PubMed, Google Scholar, Ebsco, Hinari, Scopus, etc.

Conclusion: This review underscores the dynamic of cancer associated VTE and context-dependent role of P-selectin in cancer associated VTE respectively. As we poke into the relationship between cancer, VTE and P-selectin, as this will create an insight for therapeutic intervention.

Keywords: cancer, venous thrombo embolism, P-selectin

Address for correspondence:

Akaba kingsley,

Department of Haematology, University of calabar, cross river state, Nigeria E-mail: akaba_kingsley@yahoo.com

Word count: 5030 Tables: 00 Figures: 00 References: 65

Received: 01 May, 2024, Manuscript No. OAR-24-133775 Editor Assigned: 15 May, 2024, Pre-QC No. OAR-24-133775(PQ) Reviewed: 20 May, 2024, QC No. OAR-24-133775(Q) Revised: 23 May, 2024, Manuscript No. OAR-24-133775(R) Published: 30 May, 2024, Invoice No. J-133775

INTRODUCTION

Venous Thrombo Embolism (VTE) is the third most common cause of vascular mortality worldwide and comprises Deep-Vein Thrombosis (DVT) and Pulmonary Embolism (PE) [1]. The development of VTE often starts in the valve sinus where several features surrounding these valves make the site predisposed to thrombosis. These include abnormal and reduced blood fl w, reduced shear stress, and hypoxia leading to an intact but dysfunctional endothelium [2]. Besides, leukocytes and platelets have a propensity to become trapped in valve pockets [3]. The risk factors for VTE can be subdivided into factors that promote venous stasis, factors that promote blood hypercoagulability, and factors causing endothelial injury or inflammation and that these three broad categories, frequently taught as "Virchow's triad", have formed the basis for the mechanism and categorizing the risk factors of VTE for over a century [1]. In cancer patients, tumours can compress veins, resulting in venous stasis, leading to thrombosis. VTE contributes immensely to the morbidity and mortality of cancer patients, with a fatal PE being 3 times more common in cancer patients compared to non-cancer patients [4, 5]. Cancer patients are said to have a 5-fold to 7-fold increased risk of developing VTE and those who develop VTE at diagnosis of cancer or within the year tend to have a remarkably poor prognosis when compared with cancer patients without VTE [6-9]. Venous Thrombo-Embolism is a fatal complication in a patient with active cancer. Theestimated incidence throughout 12 months is approximately 6%-8% but varies with tumour type [9]. Venous Thrombo-Embolism is associated with increase morbidity and mortality, and poor performance state this can interfere with the management of cancer patients [10]. Trousseau was the first to show the relationship between VTE and malignancy where he described thrombophlebitis as the presenting sign of visceral malignancy. Since then, there has been increased awareness of VTE as a potentially fatal event and one of the lethal pre-operative complications. However, despite increased monitoring and prevention of thrombosis tumour patients, there has been a surge in the incidences of VTE [11]. A study conducted by Choi et al. in korea to determine the epidemiology of Thrombo Embolism (TE) in Korean children with cancer reviewed incidence rate was quite low among Korean children with cancer, but higher in the general paediatric population and among children hospitalized for diseases other than cancer [12]. In another study, conducted in the US, to determine the national annual incidence and examine the trend of cancer-associated

VTE in the US over the years reported 1.18% which was non- Thrombo Embolism (VTE) space and for oncologists [26]. This signifi ant downward trend in the incidence of cancer-associated review that the annual incidence of VTE in patients with cancer is VTE over the years [13]. Patients who had cancer-associated VTE 0.5% compared to 0.1% in the general population and that active were signifi antly older than patients without VTE. Similarly, cancer accounts for 20% of the overall incidence of VTE. Thy also another study by Mulder et al., also show that due to novel found out that VTE is the second most prevalent cause of death cancer therapies, improved survival ad high resolution imaging in cancer. Furthermore, a study conducted in Ibadan, southwest the incidence of Venous Thrombo Embolism (VTE) in cancer of Nigeria by Kotila et al. reported that CAT patients constitute patients might have changed in the past decade, with a 2.3% 12.2% of all cancers treated at the Haematology department [27]. cumulative incidence of VTE in 12 months, which increases from Theseshow that there is paucity and inconsistency in the available 1.0% (95% CI, 0.9% to 1.2%) in 1997 to 3.4% (95% CI, 2.9% information on cancer-associated VTE in our environment. to 4.0%) in 2017, and this was attributed increase use of targeted Yao and Xu. studied the progress of cancer-associated venous therapies, chemotherapy and computed tomography scans [14]. thromboembolism [28]. Lee et al. in their study on the systematic Moreso this study also discovered a nine fold steady increase risk review on the epidemiology of cancer-associated thrombosis in of VTE in cancer compare to general population. Furthermore, Asia [29]. Show that the incidence of CAT in Asia is signifi antly in the same direction, another study also found that cancer- higher than non-cancer-associated VTE in the general population associated thrombosis is a far reach consequent for patients with and cancer is perhaps the most important risk factor for VTE cancer and is associated with inferior survival. Similarly, another [29]. Another study by Imura et al. on the incidence of VTE study by Wang et al., on the clinical characteristics and prognosis among cancer patients after remission [30]. Thy reported that of cancer patients with venous thromboembolism review that the risk of developing VTE decreased to the same level as that of the 18,531 patients diagnosed with a malignant tumour, 280 in patients without cancer 2 years after cancer remission. Ording (1.51%) patients presented with VTE at first diagnosis or during et al. determine the increasing incidence and declining mortality the disease course of these 26 had incidental Pulmonary Embolism after cancer-associated venous thromboembolism focusing on a (PE) and dyspnea was the predominant symptom in the PE group nationwide cohort study in Denmark, this reported a cumulative (51.65%), while lower limb swelling in the DVT group (65.27%). incidence of 1.8% to 2.8% and mortality of 52.4% to 45.8% In a similar study, Caiano et al. using systematic review and meta- [31]. Similarly, another Danish study by. Gade et al. on the analysis to evaluate the outcomes among patients with cancer epidemiology of venous thromboembolism after a second cancer, [15-17]. It was observered that in cancer patients, incidental review that the incidence rate of VTE was highest within the first VTE was associated with a lower rate of VTE recurrence when 6 months after the second cancer was diagnosed, with no changes compared to symptomatic VTE, with an increased risk of major based on the duration when the cancer was first diagnosed. A bleeding events. Douce et al, evaluated the risk factors for CAT, similar longitudinal study was conducted by Martens et al. on among patients starting chemotherapy an institution and the epidemiology of cancer-associated venous thromboembolism in impact of incorporating longitudinal hospitalization into risk patients with solid and hematologic neoplasms in the veteran's assessment [18]. Thy found out that when time-to-event data affairs health care system [32, 33]. It was reported that a consistent were included into CAT risk assessment, male sex, prior VTE, and high incidence of VTE was observed. hospitalization were important risk factors [18]. Another study on venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer undergoing

LITERATURE REVIEW

Studies on epidemiology of cancer-related VTE

Th re is ethnic variation in the of incidence of VTE, a study from America shows that African-Americans have 5-fold increase risk than Asian-ancestry populations, while European and Hispanic populations do have intermediate risk [20]. A study by Zakai (CAT) is being given concern both for physicians in the Venous player in cancer pathophysiology. The study has showed evidence

Studies on pathophysiology of VTE in cancer

surgical exploration was carried out by Ruff et al. He reported Themechanisms predisposing cancer patients to thromboembolic that malignancy and surgery are both independent risk factors for conditions are yet to be fully understood. However, mechanisms Venous Thrombo Embolism (VTE) events, and that the current that can activate hypercoagulable states in cancer patients have NCCN guidelines recommend VTE prophylaxis for up to 28 been previously studied. Prominent among these mechanisms are days after major abdominal or pelvic surgery for malignancy [19]. the direct mechanisms involved in cancer-associated thrombosis and the indirect mechanisms which promote thrombosis in cancer. Pavlovic et al. reported that the clinical manifestation of cancer-related thrombotic events mainly aff cts the venous side, and manifests in various forms, including unusual sites of venous thrombosis [34]. Behravesh et al. also found out that beyond postsurgical and trauma-related cases, stasis plays a major role in the development of venous thrombosis, and this begins at the valve [35]. Furthermore Leiva et al. evaluated the common showed that the incidence of VTE is 30%-60% higher in blacks pathophysiology in cancer, atrial fi rillation, atherosclerosis and than in whites [21]. A study in Uganda by Muleledhu et al. showed thrombosis [36]. He found out the coincidence of cardiovascular that DVT occurs in 5% of post-surgical patients and cancer was disease, such as atrial fi rillation and atherosclerosis, patients with found to be the commonest risk factor in aff cted persons [22]. cancer live longer, also cancer and cardiovascular disease shared Similarly, a case-control study conducted by Fall et al. showed that several risk factors and underlying pathophysiologic mechanisms, cancer was a risk factor for DVT in 3.81% of 105 patients evaluated including inflammation, cancer-related factors including treatment in the second seconduring the study [23]. However, studies conducted in South eff cts, and alterations in platelet function. Similar finding was Africa and Cameroon by Alsheri and Kingue et al. respectively, reported by Noble and Pasi in epidemiology and pathophysiology reported a prevalence of 4.1% and 22.2% [24, 25]. Fernandes et of cancer-associated thrombosis [37]. Furthermore, another al reported that the relevance Cancer-Associated Thrombosis study by Walker et al. in 2022 surveyed factor VIII as a potential

of the potential independent role for FVIII in cancer-associated thrombosis pathophysiology [38]. In another study, by Falanga and Marchetti evaluating the awareness and pathophysiology of cancer-associated thrombosis [39]. Thy reported that both venous and arterial, are leading cause of morbidity and mortality in patients with cancer two centuries ago. Clinico-pathological correlation between deep vein thrombosis and malignancy cancer was studied by Chhabhaya et al [40]. The researchers explained that Cancer Associated Thrombosis (CAT) is the target of intense interest in recent medical literature, including its epidemiology, pathophysiology, and challenges in diagnosis, prophylaxis, and that there was no correlation between diff rent types of cancer with deep venous thrombosis, neither with a diff rent approach of treatment had it shown any signifi ant results nor with any comorbidity [41]. However, they found a correlation between diff rent cancers with various comorbidity.

Studies on the direct mechanisms of cancerassociated thrombosis

the following;

Tissue Factor (TF):

cells express TF constitutively. Tissue Factor triggers haemostasis smooth muscles [27].

Micro Particles (MP):

These are very small membrane vesicles measuring between 0.1 µm-1µm such as P-selectin, that are released from apoptotic or reported among patients with brain, pancreatic, and gastric. activated normal cells, or resting malignant cells. MP procoagulant Furthermore, lymphoma and multiple myeloma patients are also activity has been attributed to the surface expression of active TF [41, 42].

Plasminogen Activator Inhibitor-1 (PAI-1):

A key inhibitor of fi rinolysis which is highly expressed in pancreatic cancer cells [43]. Increased PAI-1 in plasma reduces P-selectin is an adhesion receptor that normally recognizes vascular fi rinolytic activity, increasing the risk of thrombosis [44].

Other mechanisms that activate coagulation and are associated with cancer-associated thrombosis include cancer procoagulants and tumour-derived platelet agonists. Risk factors that lead to CAT and TAC include cancer type, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, hormonal therapy, anti-angiogenesis therapy, surgery, or supportive therapy with hematopoietic growth factors.

Studies on the indirect mechanisms of cancerassociated thrombosis

The cells that are actively involved in promoting thrombosis in cancer include; platelets, endothelial cells, and leukocytes. The indirect mechanisms of cancer-associated thrombosis include microparticles, inflammatory cytokines, adhesion molecules, neutrophil extracellular traps, mucins, hypoxia, Damage-Associated Molecular Patterns (DAMPs) Cancer-associated chemotherapy, coagulation gene defect, and decreased coagulation inhibitors.

Microparticles:

Th y are released from activated endothelial cells and monocytes in response to cancer.

Inflammatory cytokines:

Th y could be synthesized and secreted by tumour cells which are thrombogenic and capable of promoting procoagulant phenotype in host endothelial cells.

Tumours can also cause reactive responses [45]. The presence of cancer is a well-recognized independent risk factor for VTE treatment. Cancer types, stages, treatment, and comorbidities are [39]. About one-fi h of all new VTE events are due to active among the risk factors for developing VTE. The study showed cancer. It is estimated that approximately 4%-20% of cancer patients will experience VTE at some stage with the risk more in the initial period following diagnosis. Several studies have also shown that the risk of VTE is signifi antly higher in cancer patients [45, 46]. A similar study by Blom et al. showed that this risk for VTE was seven-fold higher in a patient with cancer than in those without malignancy [47]. Another study by Prandoni et al. has reported that the risk for recurrent VTE is four times higher [48]. Furthermore, Stein et al. also reported an increased The direct mechanisms of cancer-associated thrombosis include incidence of VTE among hospitalized cancer patients compared to non-cancer hospitalized patients [46]. Also, cancer patients with previous VTE are at high risk of recurrent VTE compared to those without malignancy [46, 47]. Moreso, it has been This is not normally expressed in quiescent endothelium. reported that the VTE is four times higher in cancer patients However, malignant tissue involving endothelial and tumour concurrently receiving chemotherapy [39]. However, the rate of VTE varies from one sub-group of cancer patients and depends immediately after vascular injury and it is profusely expressed on on the presence of various factors such as patient, tumour, and sub-endothelial cells such as pericytes, fi roblasts, and vascular trauma-related factors [39]. The age, BMI, co-morbidities, and immobilization are said to increase the risk of VTE in the general population as well as in cancer patients [49]. The primary site and degree of the metastatic disease contribute signifi antly to the risk of thrombosis among cancer patients with the highest incidence at high risk. Other contributing factors to VTE in cancer include chemotherapy, antiangiogenic agents, hormonal therapy, surgery, and erythropoiesis-stimulating agents [50].

Studies on P-selectin in cancer patients

mean glycoproteins bearing the carbohydrate structure [51]. Several studies independently correlated clinical prognosis and tumour metastasis with P-selectin [52]. Since metastasis is thought to involve the interaction between tumour platelet leucocyte and the endothelium [53]. In another study, P-selectin defici ncy in mice was associated with a signifi antly slow cancer progression rate [26]. Another study showed a correlation between an increased level of P-selectin and a hypercoagulability state among patients with Haematological malignancies [54]. Another study has also shown that the use of D-dimer and P-selectin has demonstrated to be a potentially useful tool to predict thromboembolic events either independently or in a prediction score [26]. A well-defined association has been established between elevated P-selectin levels which can serve as a predictive tool for thromboembolism in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy. Selectins characterize a family of three structurally and functionally related adhesion molecules: Eselectin, P-selectin, and L-selectin. The lectin-like domains are primarily in control of Ca²⁺ dependent interactions with

fucosylated, mucin-like ligands. Functionally, the rapid molecule that belongs to the Selectin family of proteins, which are association and dissociation rate constants that characterize expressed by diff rent cell types such as platelets, endothelial and selectin-mediated adhesion allow selectins to function as vital immune cells, as well as several types of cancer cells. The increase initiators of leukocyte adhesion to endothelium under conditions expression of P-selectin by activated platelets makes it a pivotal of shear fl w. A role for selectins as signal-transducing receptors component in the pathogenesis of thrombosis, in general, and in is also now becoming evident [55]. P-selectin is stored in the Cancer-Associated Thrombosis (CAT), in particular. Hence, the alpha granules of platelets and in the Weibel-Palade bodies of mechanisms by which P-selectin mediates CAT are associated Endothelial Cells (EC) [56]. Exposure to an activating stimulus with tumour-promoting processes such as inflammation and such as thrombin results in rapid translocation of P-selectin to metastasis. Moreover, P-selectin was shown to have a role in the cell surface, avoiding the need for transcription or translation tumour-host interactions and cancer immunity. However, [56]. E-selectin is upregulated after the initiation of thrombosis P-selectin has been the focus of several studies exploring its role in a transcription-dependent fashion. P-selectin can be secreted in cancer progression. Fernandes et al. reported that D-dimer and into the circulation as a component of EC and platelet-derived P-selectin could serve as a biomarker for the risk of VTE in cancer Micro Particles (MP) or, in small quantities, as a free, alternatively patients [26]. Furthermore, in a cancer and thrombosis study spliced version lacking a transmembrane domain [57]. These two conducted by Kanz et al. at the Medical University of Vienna, the forms of soluble P-selectin are elevated in humans in association authors reported that P-selectin remains a signifi ant predictor of with atherosclerosis and thrombosis and are predictive of future VTE in cancer patients [60]. Similarly, in a CAT study by Ay et al. adverse cardiovascular events, including myocardial infarction and P-selectin levels were signifi antly elevated among cancer patients stroke [56, 57]. Soluble P-selectin levels are also elevated at times who developed VTE and it was a predictor of VTE [61]. Celle of overwhelming systemic thrombosis and consumption, such et al. in another study reported an elevated level of P-selectin in as disseminated intravascular coagulation and heparin-induced myeloproliferative neoplasm [62]. Similarly, Leinoe et al. showed thrombo cytopenia. P-selectin defici nt mice demonstrate a that low P-selectin expression in acute myeloid leukaemia and is haemophilic phenotype with marked bleeding tendencies [57]. In attributed to the reduced platelet synthesis as well as the alpha animal models of DVT, we, and others, have demonstrated that granules dysfunction [63]. Khorana also reported that P-selectin, P-selectin expression regulates fi rin deposition and thrombus tissue factor, D-dimer, C-reactive protein, platelet and leucocytes size [58]. We have also shown that associations exist between counts are potential promising biomarkers, predictive of VTE in P-selectin and E-selectin deletions and reduced thrombosis, with cancer [64]. Hrncar et al. also showed that P-selectin and factor the thrombi exhibiting diminished fi rin content. In a primate VIII are the best predictive tools for assessing the risk of VTE in model of stasis-induced DVT, P-selectin blocking antibodies hepatocellular carcinoma [65]. or antibodies blocking the P-selectin receptor, PSGL-1, inhibit thrombosis and promote recanalization [59]. A reduction in the fi rin content of thrombi formed in the presence of P-selectin inhibition is likely contributory, as leukocyte-platelet interactions leading to fi rin deposition are P-selectin dependent [57].

VTE in cancer

Cells in our body do interact with their environment via diverse Cell Adhesion Molecules (CAMs). P-Selectin (SELP) is an adhesion

CONCLUSION

This review underscores the dynamic of cancer associated VTE and context the dependent role of P-selectin in cancer associated Studies on P-selectin and cancer-associated VTE respectively. As we poke into the relationship between cancer, VTE and P-selectin, as this will create an insight for therapeutic intervention.

- 1. Nicholson M, Chan N, Bhagirath V, Ginsberg J. Prevention of Venous 32. REFERENCES Thromboembolism in 2020 and Beyond. J Clin Med. 2020;9:2467 2. Donnellan E, Khorana AA. Cancer and Venous Thromboembolic Disease:
 - A Review. Oncologist. 2017;22:199-207.
 - 3. Fuchs TA, Brill A, Wagner DD. Neutrophil extracellular trap (NET) impact on deep vein thrombosis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2012;32:1777-1783.
 - Aird WC. Vascular bed-specific thrombosis. J Thromb Haemost. 4. 2003;1:283-291.
 - Naess IA, Christiansen SC, Romundstad P, Cannegieter SC, Rosendaal 5. FR, et al. Incidence and mortality of venous thrombosis: a populationbased study. J Thromb Haemost. 2007;5:692-699.
 - 6. Agnelli G, Verso M. Management of venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer. J Thromb Haemost. 2011;9:316-324.
 - Connolly GC, Francis CW. Cancer-associated thrombosis. Hematology. 7. 2013:2013:684-692
 - 8. Blom JW, Doggen CJM, Osanto S, Rosendaal FR. Malignancies, Prothrombotic Mutations, and the Risk of Venous Thrombosis. JAMA. 2005:293:715-723.
 - Razak NBA, Jones G, Bhandari M, Berndt MC, Metharom P. Cancer-as-9. sociated thrombosis: An overview of mechanisms, risk factors, and treatment. Cancers. 2018;10.
 - 10. Diaz ES, Walts AE, Karlan BY, Walsh CS. Venous thromboembolism during primary treatment of ovarian clear cell carcinoma is associated with decreased survival. Gynecol Oncol. 2013;131:541-545.
 - 11. Matsuura Y, Robertson G, Marsden DE, Kim SN, Gebski V, et al. Thromboembolic complications in patients with clear cell carcinoma of the ovary. Gynecol Oncol. 2007;104:406-410.
 - 12. Choi HS, Kim HJ, Kang HJ, Lee JW, Shin HY, et al. Thromboembolism in children with cancer: a retrospective multicenter study in Korea. J Thromb Thrombolysis. 2019;47:558-565.
 - Almohammed OA, Lai L, Khanfar NM, Bleidt B, Aljadhey H. Trends of 13. cancer-associated venous thromboembolism (VTE) in the United States (2005-2014). Thromb Res. 2019;182:110-115.
 - Mulder FI, Candeloro M, Kamphuisen PW, Di Nisio M, Bossuyt PM, et 14. al. The Khorana score for prediction of venous thromboembolism in cancer patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Haematologica 2019;104:1277-1287.
 - Khorana AA, Mackman N, Falanga A, Pabinger I, Noble S, et al. Cancer-15. associated venous thromboembolism. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2022;8:11.
 - Wang H, Xu X, Pu C, Li L. Clinical characteristics and prognosis of cancer 16. patients with venous thromboembolism. J Cancer Res Ther. 2019;15:344 349
 - Caiano L, Carrier M, Marshall A, Young AM, Ageno W, et al. Outcomes 17. among patients with cancer and incidental or symptomatic venous thromboembolism: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Thromb Haemost 2021;19:2468-2479.
 - Douce DR, Holmes CE, Cushman M, MacLean CD, Ades S, et al. Risk 18. factors for cancer-associated venous thromboembolism: The Venous Thromboembolism Prevention in the Ambulatory Cancer Clinic (VTE-PACC) Study. J Thromb Haemost. 2019;17:2152-2159.
 - Ruff SM, Weber KT, Khader A, Conte C, Kadison A, et al. Venous throm-19. boembolism in patients with cancer undergoing surgical exploration. J Thromb Thrombolysis. 2019;47:316-323.
 - Caine GJ, Stonelake PS, Lip GYH, Kehoe ST. The hypercoagulable state 20. of malignancy: Pathogenesis and current debate. Neoplasia. 2002;4:465-473.
 - 21. Zakai NA, Mcclure LA. Racial differences in venous thromboembolism. J Thromb Haemost. 2011;9:1877-1882.
 - Muleledhu AL, Galukande M, Makobore P, Mwambu T, Ameda F, et al. 22. Deep venous thrombosis after major abdominal surgery in a Ugandan hospital: a prospective study. Int J Emerg Med. 2013;6:43-48.
 - Fall AOT, Proulle V, Sall A, Mbaye A, Ba PS, et al. Risk factors for throm-23. bosis in an African population. Clin Med Insights Blood Disord. 2014;7:1-6
 - Alshehri MF. Risk factors for deep vein thrombosis in a South African pub-24. lic hospital . University of Cape Town, Faculty of Health Sciences, Division of Emergency Medicine; 2013.
 - Kingue S, Tagny-Zukam D, Binam F, Nouedoui C, Teyang Muna. Venous 25. thromboembolism in Cameroon. Med Trop. 2022;62:47-50
 - 26. Fernandes CJ, Morinaga LTK, Alves JL Jr, Castro MA, Calderaro D, et al. Cancer-associated thrombosis: the when, how and why. Eur Respir Rev. 2019:28:180119.
 - Kotila TR, Fasola FA, Busari EO. A revisit of venous thromboembolism. J 27. Med Med Sci. 2013;42.
 - 28. Yao Y, Xu Q. Progress in the study of cancer-associated venous thromboembolism. Vasc. 2021;29:408-414.
 - 29 Lee LH, Nagarajan C, Tan CW, Ng HJ. Epidemiology of Cancer-Associated Thrombosis in Asia: A Systematic Review. Cardiol Ther Front Cardio vasc Med. 2021;8.
 - 30. Imura M, Katada J, Shiga T. Epidemiological Study Regarding the Incidence of Venous Thromboembolism in Patients After Cancer Remission. 2022:11:611-623.
 - 31. Ording AG, Skjøth F, Søgaard M, Højen AA, Overvad TF, Noble S, et al. Increasing Incidence and Declining Mortality After Cancer-Associated Venous Thromboembolism: A Nationwide Cohort Study. Am J Med. 2021:134:868-876.

- Gade IL, Severinsen MT, Kragholm KH, Kristensen SR, Torp-Pedersen C, et al. Epidemiology of venous thromboembolism after second cancer. Clin Epidemiol. 2020;12:377-386.
- 33. Martens KL, Li A, La J, May SB, Swinnerton KN, et al. Epidemiology of Cancer-Associated Venous Thromboembolism in Patients With Solid and Hematologic Neoplasms in the Veterans Affairs Health Care System. JAMA Netw Open. 2023;6:2317945.
- 34 Pavlovic D, Niciforovic D, Markovic M, Papic D. Cancer-Associated Thrombosis: Epidemiology, Pathophysiological Mechanisms, Treatment, and Risk Assessment. Clin Med Insights Oncol. 2023;17.
- 35. Behravesh S, Hoang P, Nanda A, Wallace A, Sheth RA, Deipolyi AR, et al. Pathogenesis of Thromboembolism and Endovascular Management. Thrombosis. 2017;2017:3039713.
- 36. Leiva O, AbdelHameid D, Connors JM, Cannon CP, Bhatt DL. Common Pathophysiology in Cancer, Atrial Fibrillation, Atherosclerosis, and Thrombosis: JACC: CardioOncology State-of-the-Art Review. JACC: CardioOncol. 2021;3:619-634.
- 37. Noble S, Pasi J. Epidemiology and pathophysiology of cancer-associated thrombosis. Br J Cancer. 2010;102:2-9.
- 38. Walker GE, Merlin S, Zanolini D, Vandoni A, Volpe A Gaidano G, et al. Valente G. Factor VIII as a potential player in cancer pathophysiology. J Thromb Haemost. 2022;20:648-660.
- 39. Falanga A, Panova-Noeva M, Russo L. Procoagulant mechanisms in tumour cells. Best Pract Res Clin Haematol. 2009;22:49-60.
- Chhabhaya N, Patel J, Bhatt R, Agarwal C. Clinico-pathological correlation 40. between deep vein thrombosis and malignancy. 2023;10:657-662
- Geddings JE, Hisada Y, Boulaftali Y, Getz TM, Whelihan M, Fuentes R, 41. et al. Tissue factor-positive tumor microvesicles activate platelets and enhance thrombosis in mice. J Thromb Haemost. 2016;14:153-166.
- 42. Tesselaar MET, Romijn HTM, Linden V, Der Prins FA, Bertina RM, Osanto S. Microparticle-associated tissue factor activity: a link between cancer and thrombosis? J Thromb Haemost. 2007;5:520-527.
- 43. Zwicker JI. Risking thromboembolism: podoplanin and glioma. Blood. 2017:129:1740-1742.
- Lupu-Meiri M, Geras-Raaka E, Lupu R, Shapira H, Sandbank J, et al. Knock-down of plasminogen-activator inhibitor-1 enhances expression of E-cadherin and promotes epithelial differentiation of human pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells. J Cell Physiol. 2012;227:3621-3628.
- Westrick RJ, Eitzman DT. Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 in vascular 45 thrombosis. Current drug targets. 2007;8:996-1002.
- Stein PD, Beemath A, Meyers FA, Skaf E, Sanchez J, et al. Incidence of 46. venous thromboembolism in patients hospitalized with cancer. Am J Med. 2006:119:60-68
- Blom JW, Doggen CJ, Osanto S, Rosendaal FR. Malignancies, prothrom-47. botic mutations, and the risk of venous thrombosis. Jama. 2005;293:715-722.
- Prandoni P, Lensing AW, Piccioli A, Bernardi E, Simioni P, et al. Recurrent 48. venous thromboembolism and bleeding complications during anticoagulant treatment in patients with cancer and venous thrombosis. Blood J Am Soc Hematol. 2002;100:3484-3488.
- Ye S, Yang J, Cao D, Bai H, Huang H, Wu M, Chen J, You Y, Lang J, Shen 49. K. Characteristic and prognostic implication of venous thromboembolism in ovarian clear cell carcinoma: a 12-year retrospective study. PLoS One. 2015:20;10:0121818.
- Singh H, Mani S, Espadas D, Petersen N, Franklin V, et al. Prescrip-50. tion errors and outcomes related to inconsistent information transmitted through computerized order entry: a prospective study. Arch Intern Med. 2009:169:982-989.
- Barthel SR, Gavino JD, Descheny L, Dimitroff CJ. Targeting selectins and 51. selectin ligands in inflammation and cancer. Expert Opin Ther Targets. 2007;11:1473-1491
- 52. Welch DR, Hurst DR. Defining the hallmarks of metastasis. Cancer research. 2019 15;79:3011-3027.
- 53 Franchini M. Thromboembolic risk in hematological malignancies. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2015;53:1139-1147.
- Pulivarthi S, Gurram MK. Effectiveness of d-dimer as a screening test for 54. venous thromboembolism: an update. N Am J Med Sci. 2014:491
- Delves PJ, Roitt IM. Encyclopedia of immunology. InEncyclopedia Immu-55 nol. 1998 145-145
- 56 Agrati C, Sacchi A, Tartaglia E, Vergori A, Gagliardini R, et al. The role of P-selectin in COVID-19 coagulopathy: an updated review. Int J Mol Sci. 2021:22:7942.
- 57. Purdy M, Obi A, Myers D, Wakefield T. P-and E-selectin in venous thrombosis and non-venous pathologies. J Thromb Haemost. 2022;20:1056-1066
- 58. Kisucka J, Chauhan AK, Zhao BQ, Patten IS, Yesilaltay A. Elevated levels of soluble P-selectin in mice alter blood-brain barrier function, exacerbate stroke, and promote atherosclerosis. Blood J Am Soc Hematol. 2009:113:6015-6022
- Ferrari B, Peyvandi F. How I treat thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura in 59. pregnancy. Blood, J. Am Soc Hematol. 2020;136:2125-2132.
- 60 Kanz R, Vukovich T, Vormittag R, Dunkler D, Ay C, et al. Thrombosis risk and survival in cancer patients with elevated C-reactive protein. J Thromb Haemost. 2011;9:57-63
- 61. Ay C, Simanek R, Vormittag R, Dunkler D, Alguel G, et al. High plasma levels of soluble P-selectin are predictive of venous thromboembolism in

62. 63.	cancer patients: results from the Vienna Cancer and Thrombosis Study (CATS). Blood J Am Soc Hematol. 2008;112:2703-2708. Cella CA, Knoedler M, Hall M, Arcopinto M, Bagnardi V, et all. Validation of the ONKOTEV risk prediction model for venous thromboembolism in outpatients with cancer. JAMA Netw Open. 2023;6:230010. Leinoe EB, Hoffmann MH, Kjaersgaard E, Nielsen JD, Bergmann OJ, et al. Prediction of haemorrhage in the early stage of acute myeloid leu- kaemia by flow cytometric analysis of platelet function. Br J Haematol. 2005;128:526-32.	64. 65.	Khorana AA, Barnard J, Wun T, Vijapurkar U, Damaraju CV, Moore KT, Wildgoose P, McCrae KR. Biomarker signatures in cancer patients with and without venous thromboembolism events: a substudy of CASSINI. Blood Adv. 2022;6:1212-21. Hrnčár M, Chudej J, Pritzová E, Jablonicka M, Sokol J. P-64 P-selectin and factor VIII as risk factors of thromboembolic disease in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Ann Oncol. 2020;31:110.
	2003,120.320-32.		