Comparison of epidemiological criteria for colorectal cancer over 10 years: incidence, therapeutic management and overall survival

Youssef Elhaitmy¹, Zineb Benbrahim¹, Soukaina El Anssari¹, fatimazahra Bartal², Lamiae Amaadour¹, Karima Oualla¹, Samia Arifi¹, Nawfel Mellas¹

¹ Department of Medical Oncology, University Hospital Center Hassan II, City of Fez, Morocco

² Laboratory of Epidemiology, Clinical Research and Community Health, Faculty of Medicine, Pharmacy and Dentistry-Sidi Mohamme Ben Abdellah University-FES, Morocco

Colorectal Cancer (CRC) is ranked among the most common cancers in the world, third after breast and prostate cancer and second digestive cancer in Morocco after gastric cancer. However, its incidence in our country remains lower than that of Western countries (2.5 to 3.3/100,000 ha). Knowledge of the epidemiological characteristics of CRC will certainly condition our therapeutic attitudes. The aim of this article is to compare the epidemiological anatomopathological and therapeutic characteristics over ten years and to show that progress in recent years in terms of treatment has contributed to the increase in survival rates. We included 571 patients in a retrospective study with CRC treated at the medical oncology department of Fez over a period from June 2014 to June 2023. The Kaplan Meier method was used to estimate the median survival. There is a slight male predominance. The average age in our study at the time of diagnosis was 59 years. The time between the onset of symptoms and the consultation was mostly more than 6 months between the first two years of the study and was less than 3 months in the last two years. Clinical symptoms were dominated by transit disorders, rectal bleeding and weight loss. Median Overall Survival (OS) was 22.3 months ± 0.6 CI (21-23.6) between 2014-2016 vs. 28.3 months ± 0.6 CI (24-29.6) between 2021-2023. We reported an improvement in Progression Free Survival (PFS) in the last two years (192 months ± 0.6 Cl (19-21) vs. 16,4 months ± 0.4 Cl (16-20). Our study showed an increase in overall survival and progression free survival over the past ten years.

Keywords: colorectal cancer, comparison, survival

Address for correspondence:

Youssef Elhaitmy, Department of Medical Oncology, University Hospital Center Hassan II, City of Fez, Morocco

E-mail: youssef.elhaitmy@gmail.com

Word count: 2749 Tables: 03 Figures: 03 References: 20

Received: 23 April, 2024, Manuscript No. OAR-24-132856

Editor Assigned: 24 April, 2024, Pre-QC No. OAR-24-132856(PQ)

Reviewed: 08 May, 2024, QC No. OAR-24-132856(Q)

Revised: 15 May, 2024, Manuscript No. OAR-24-132856(R)

Published: 22 May, 2024, Invoice No. J-132856

INTRODUCTION

Colorectal Cancer (CRC) is the third most commonly diagnosed cancer, after prostate and lung cancer, in men, and the fourth, after breast, cervical, and thyroid cancer in women. The variability of CRC incidence in time and space suggests an important influence of environmental factors. First of all, alcohol and tobacco are associated with an increased risk of CRC [1-3]. Diet, metabolic syndrome and physical activity are also associated with risk modification. Indeed, protein consumption appears to be linked to an increased risk of CRC. Data on meat consumption are conflicting, with the risk being more related to excessive consumption of red meat [4, 5]. Regular physical activity would reduce the risk of CRC. High caloric intake, obesity and physical inactivity are associated with an increased risk of adenomas or CRC [6-8]. Finally, type 2 diabetes is also a risk factor for CRC [9]. Its incidence is significantly higher in men than in women. After breast and cervical cancer screening, colorectal cancer screening has been developed, and an increasing number of countries have organized systematic screening targeting the population between 50 years and 70 years of age. All stages combined, the 5 years survival for colon cancer is around 60% [10, 11]. 40%-60% of patients will become metastatic. The purpose of this work is to show advances in colorectal cancer diagnosis and treatment, including chemotherapy, targeted therapy, immunotherapy, and wider and faster access to these treatments have contributed to increase survival rates over the past decade.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a retrospective study of 571 patients with colorectal cancer at all stages, collected in the medical oncology department of the Hassan II university hospital center in Fez during a 10-years period from June 2014 to June 2023. We compared the characteristics of tow groups: Group A between 2014-2016, and Group B between 2021-2023. Statistical analysis of the data was performed using Microsoft Office Excel and SPSS software. Qualitative variables were expressed as a percentage and quantitative variables were expressed as an average. Kaplan-Meier analysis was used to determine overall and progression-free survival rates.

RESULT

564 patients with CRC were identified in the patient register of the medical oncology department at the Hassan II Hospital in Fez. We reported a higher number of patients in the last two years: 146 cases (Figure 1).



Fig. 1. Distribution of patients by years

(51.59%). The main personal histories observed in our study

According to our results, there is a slight male predominance are tobacco and excessive consumption of meat found in 150 with 293 men and 271 women and a sex ratio (M/F) of 1.08 patients and 138 patients respectively. Alco-hol consumption, in the general population. For colon cancers, there are 207 men history of adenoma, Crohn's disease and obe-sity were affected (57% of cases) and 157 women (43%) with a sex ratio objectified in 36 patients, 28 patients, 15 patients and 70 (M/F) of 1.31. For rectal cancers, 104 (52%) women were patients respectively. The time between the onset of symptoms diagnosed during this period vs. 96 men (48%) with a sex ratio and the consultation was mostly more than 6 months between (M/F) of 0.92. The mean age in our study at the time of diagnosis the first two years of the study. There has been a clear reduction was 59 years with ex-tremes ranging from 16 years to 103 years. in the consultation period over the past two years. The factors The majority age group was 61 years to 70 years old. Among the that mo-tivated the consultation were most often the association 564 patients, 291 patients were aged under 60 years of several clinical signs. The clinical symptoms were dominated by transit disorders, rectal bleeding and weight loss (Table 1).

Tab. 1. The main clinical signs in patients	Clinical Signs	Number	%	
	Transit disorders	287	51.51	
	(Diarrhea, Constipation or Alternation Diarrhea/constipation)			
	Rectal bleeding	276	49.24	
	Weight loss	270	48.48	
	Abdominal pain	118	21	
	rectal syndrome	101	18.18	
	(Crushing, Tenesmus, false needs and incomplete evacuations)			
	Vomiting	29	5.30	
	Discomfort while sitting	21	3.79	

of metastases was in descending order: liver, lung, peritoneum and respectively (Table 2).

In our study, 120 patients (21%) were in good general condition bone. Tumor marker assay was performed in 476 cases (84.3%). (PS 1), 360 patients (64%) were PS 2 and 54 patients and 28 pa- In 146 patients (26%), liver function tests were disturbed. Rectients were PS 3 and PS 4 respectively. There is a predominance to-colonoscopy made it possible to objectify the appearance and of lieberkuhnian adenocarcinoma (88%) compared to other types extent of the primary tumour. There is a predominance of vegof cancer (mucinous carcinomas), with a predominance of stages etative forms with a number of 237 patients or 42.1%. Thoraco-IV in the general population. There has been a significant increase abdomino-pelvic Computed Tomography (CT) was performed in the number of patients with stage II colon cancer over the past in all patients. Metastases were localized in liver, lung, peritoneal two years (2021-2023) compared to 2014-2016. The elective site and bone in 82 patients, 76 patients, 45 patients and 12 patients

Tab.2.Comparisonofpatientscharacteristicsover the years (2014-2016/2021-2023)		Group 1 (2014-2016)	Group 2 (2021-2023)	
	Middle age (years)	59.7	51.6	
	Performance Status (PS)			
	1	61	106	
	2	50	87	
	3	85	39	
	Mode of Metastasis (%)			
	Metachrone	45.3	60.3	
	Synchronous	57.7	39.7	

Site of Metastases (%)					
44.1	52				
3.4	4.6				
23.7	15.3				
1.7	1.5				
liver enzymes (%)					
70.2	81.3				
25.5	16.4				
Carcinoembryonic Antigen (CEA) (%)					
60	59.1				
40	39.9				
	44.1 3.4 23.7 1.7 liver enzymes (%) 70.2 25.5 Carcinoembryonic Antigen (CEA) 60				

patients (28%) between 2014-2016. We have observed an

Abdominal Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) was performed improvement in overall survival in patients who have received a in patients with liver metastases in 36 cases (21%). In 12 cases combination of chemotherapy+biotherapy in recent years with a (32%), the enhancement of the lesion after injection of gado- very significant p < 0.001 (Table 3 and Figure 2). An increase in linium was peripheral producing a target image. There is an in- progression free survival was also observed (p=0.02) (Figure crease in the performance of abdominal MRI between the pe- 3). Median Over-all Survival (OS) was 22.3 months ± 0.6 CI riod 2021-2023 (32 cases) compared to 2014-2016 (04 cases). (21-23.6) between 2014-2016 vs. 28.3 months ± 0.6 CI We reported an increase in patients with high-risk stage III (24-29.6) between 2021- 2023. We reported an improvement 44 patients+(59.45%) during the period 2021-2023 vs. 14 in Progression Free Survival (PFS) in the last two years (19.2 months $\pm 0.6 \text{ CI} (19-21) vs. 16.4 \text{ months} \pm 0.4 \text{ CI} (16-20).$

Tab. 3. Overall comparisons		Khi-carre	DDL	Sig.
	Log Rank (Mantel-Cox)	224.491	1	0.001
	Survival function	ons		
	10 martine and martine	TRT		
	and a survival		censored	
	Cumulative 2 2 2 2		censored	
	З •2			
		N N N		

Fig. 2. Overall survival curve depending on treatment received (chemotherapy with or without biotherapy) in patients with stage IV in the last two years of our study

follow-up1month

00000

Coding:

- Chemotherapy+biotherapy 1.
- Chemotherapy alone 2.





Coding:

- Chemotherapy+biotherapy 1.
- 2. Chemotherapy alone

DISCUSSION

Morocco is considered a high risk country for colorectal cancer, with a lower incidence compared to Europe. The decrease in mortality, observed as well as the improvement in overall survival and progression-free survival observed in recent years can be explained by a diagnosis of cancers at an earlier stage, but also by improving treatment: surgical technique and adjuvant chemotherapy in particular [12]. The evolution of net survival is the consequence of greater precocity from stage to diagnosis combined with improvement in therapeutic practice. Various studies describe an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer linked to the Western life style. More precisely, are considered as factors risk obesity, sedentary behavior and a diet rich in meat and consumption of alcohol and smoking. There are also the existence of "not modifiable" risk factors: age, history of adenoma, extensive inflammatory colitis and genetic mutation (lynch syndrome and familial adenomatous polyposis).

Determination of tumor molecular status (RAS, BRAF and MSI) is essential from the start of treatment. The genetic study in search of a mutation of the KRAS gene is essential before the introduction of a biotherapy. Indeed, the mutation of the KRAS gene confers resistance to anti EGFR [13, 14]. The combination of targeted therapies with Chemotherapy (CT) provides a better overall response. The molecules used are monoclonal antibodies:

- Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor: Bevacizumab.
- Anti-epidermal growth factor receptor: Panitumumab and Cetuximab.

cy of the MMR system must be systematic. The presence of a ed to improve access to new drugs in advanced stages.

dMMR/MSI status confers particular sensitivity to immunotherapy regardless of the laterality of the primary tumor and the BRAF status. This was confirmed by the phase III trial (KEYNOTE 177) with Pembrolizumab as first-line treatment with a PFS of 16.5 months and objective response rates reaching 43.8% [15]. The presence of a BRAF V600E mutation has a poor prognosis. Of the exploratory data on small numbers providing a benefit in terms of overall survival with a tri chemotherapy+Bevacizumab [16]. Several studies have shown that cancer laterality is a prognostic factor independent of mutation status in metastatic colon cancer, with a poor prognosis for right colon cancers [17]. Current data concerning the influence of tumor location (right/left colon) in the therapeutic choice suggest a predictive effect of anti-EGFR efficacy in terms of response, and OS for left colon cancers and conversely a trend towards better efficacy of Bevacizumab in terms of OS for right colon cancer [18, 19]. In addition, a phase III trial recently showed equivalent efficacy in terms of response and PFS between a bichemotherapy or trichemotherapy associated with an anti EGFR for left colon cancer RAS/BRAF WT [20].

In our study, the survival rates for patients with colorectal cancer between 2014-2016 are lower than the period between 2021-2023. This difference is due to the influence of several factors which are in the first rank the use of more effective treatment precisely in terms of chemotherapy and targeted therapy.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrated an enhancement in diagnostic delays and The search for Microsatellite Instability (MSI) and/or deficien- treatment outcome for colorectal cancer. More efforts are warrant-

- 1. Huang H, Huang YS, Xiao YH, Jin HY, Zhu D, et al. A pan-cancer analysis of the oncogenic and anti-tumor immunity role of P4HA3 in human can cers. Res Sq. 2024.
- REFERENCES 2. Hou L, Jiang J, Liu B, Nasca PC, Wu Y, et al. Association between smoking and deaths due to colorectal malignant carcinoma: a national pop-ulationbased case-control study in China. Br J Cancer. 2014;110:1351-1358.
 - 3. Liang PS, Chen TY, Giovannucci E. Cigarette smoking and colorectal cancer incidence and mortality: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Cancer. 2009;124:2406-2415.
 - 4. Norat T, Bingham S, Ferrari P, Slimani N, Jenab M, et al. Meat, fish, and colorectal cancer risk: the European Prospective Investigation into cancer and nutrition. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2005;97:906-916.
 - Potter JD, Slattery ML, Bostick RM, Gapstur SM. Colon cancer: a review 5. of the epidemiology. Epidemiol Rev. 1993;15:499-545.
 - 6. Moghaddam AA, Woodward M, Huxley R. Obesity and risk of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis of 31 studies with 70,000 events. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark. Prev.2007;16:2533-2547.
 - 7. Trabulo D, Ribeiro S, Martins C, Teixeira C, Cardoso C, et al. Metabolic syndrome and colorectal neoplasms: An ominous association. WJG. 2015:21:5320
 - 8. Mahmoud AM, Ali MM. Methyl donor micronutrients that modify DNA methylation and cancer outcome. Nutrients. 2019;11:608.
 - 9. Uzunlulu M, Telci Caklili O, Oguz A. Association between metabolic syndrome and cancer. Ann Nutr Metab. 2016;68:173-179.
 - 10. Roche L, Danieli C, Belot A, Grosclaude P, Bouvier AM, et al. Cancer net survival on registry data: use of the new unbiased Pohar-Perme es-timator and magnitude of the bias with the classical methods. Int J Cancer. 2013:132:2359-2369
 - 11. Cancer colorectal - Trouvez le meilleur traitement - Immucura. 2023.

Welch HG, Robertson DJ. Colorectal cancer on the decline-why screening can't explain it all. N Eng J Med. 2016;374:1605-1607.

12.

- Ye LC, Liu TS, Ren L, Wei Y, Zhu DX, et al. Randomized controlled trial of 13 cetuximab plus chemotherapy for patients with KRAS wild-type un-resectable colorectal liver-limited metastases. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31:1931-1938.
- 14 Montemurro M, Achtari L, Röth A, Halkic N, Luthi F, et al. Systemic treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer. Rev Med Suisse. 2008;4:1254 1257. 15
- André T, Shiu KK, Kim TW, Jensen BV, Jensen LH, et al. Pembrolizumab in microsatellite-instability-high advanced colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2020;383:2207-2218.
- 16. Loupakis F, Cremolini C, Masi G, Lonardi S, Zagonel V, et al. Initial therapy with FOLFOXIRI and bevacizumab for metastatic colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med.2014;371:1609-1618.
- 17. Boeckx N, Koukakis R, De Beeck KO, Rolfo C, Van Camp G, et al. Primary tumor sidedness has an impact on prognosis and treatment out-come in metastatic colorectal cancer: results from two randomized first-line panitumumab studies. Ann Oncol. 2017;28:1862-1868
- 18. Watanabe J, Muro K, Shitara K, Yamazaki K, Shiozawa M, et al. Panitumumab vs bevacizumab added to standard first-line chemotherapy and overall survival among patients with RAS wild-type, left-sided metastatic colorectal cancer. JAMA. 2023;329:1271-1282.
- 19. Holch JW, Ricard I, Stintzing S, Modest DP, Heinemann V. The relevance of primary tumour location in patients with metastatic colorectal can-cer: a meta-analysis of first-line clinical trials. Eur J Cancer. 2017;70:87-98.
- Rossini D, Antoniotti C, Lonardi S, Pietrantonio F, Moretto R, et al. Upfront 20. modified fluorouracil, leucovorin, oxaliplatin, and irinotecan plus pani-tumumab versus fluorouracil, leucovorin, and oxaliplatin plus panitumumab for patients with RAS/BRAF wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer: the phase III TRIPLETE study by GONO. J Clin Oncol. 2022;40:2878.